	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/942,461	SAKAMOTO, SADAAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Meilman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 February 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET Agreed to Examiner's Amendment and extension of time to pa	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT W lace the case in condition for allow	AS DISCUSSED: vance.
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr 	te record of the substance of t	he interview, since the interview
, /		
things -	cant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	JanuApplicant's Nepresentative	organization of specific and sp

Applicant(s)